

---

Subject: Re: US bashing (I strike back)

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 01:11:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 19:13R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 12:00However, when a known non-ally starts massing WMD out side of our country, of course we are going to act.

Why the hell would they start massing missiles THAT far away from home and THAT close to American soil? I mean, without any intention for using them. Or at least using them to threaten the country.

So, it's okay for the US to deliver military equipment to Afghanistan "THAT far away from home" to help out "Allies" but the Soviets can't deliver military equipment to Cuba (One of THEIR allies)? American hypocrity speaks again.

What the hell are you talking about?! I didn't think it was that possible to be that thick headed!

What purpose would Cuba have with WMDs in such an isolated area with absolutely nothing near then except for the US which they aren't allied with.

There is a large difference in delivering weapons to Afghanistan and building WMDs in Cuba.

-There is a war going and supplies are kind of needed. There was no reason for Cuba to have WMDs because there wasn't any reason for them to go to war, as far as I know.

-Delivering weapons to continue a war is different than creating nukes just for fun. For one reason one of the above doesn't destroy everything in a 2 mile radius.

The only reason for me to understand why you don't understand (did that make sense?) is if you where to live in Eurasia, which I thought you lived in southern California...

But just in case you didn't get my point before, I will try to explain it again.

Countries in Europe and Asia all live neighboring each other. If they wanted to start a war with each other, then what is the point of porting the WMDs across the Pacific ocean right next to one single country? The only reason is if they wanted to launch the missiles onto the US, that is the only reason I can think of, short of simply hiding missiles for later use on other countries, which even in that case, it was good for them to be dismantled.

Lets say the Soviets wanted to build nukes to attack lets just say for the sake of argument, China. Why would they port missiles across the Pacific and hide them in Cuba, to which the US is well within the firing range of, only to be later transported back?

It is completely different that dropping a bomb from a plane that took off from the West Cost. First of all, moving missiles into Cuba is like preparing to fire them onto the US. The US having a bomber that can take off from the West coast and travel to Moscow isn't preparing for an attack, it is waiting to be attacked.

One is simply offense, and the other one is defense. There is absolutely no reason why the US would pick a nuclear war with some other county for no reason. And that is the reason why we

can't trust anyone else with a nuke.

Haven't you heard the expression if you can't have something done right, you have to do it yourself? Well, it applies here. We know we can trust ourselves to not offensively fire a nuke without warning on another nation, but how can we be so sure that some other nation feels the same way? Call it paranoia if you will.

---