

---

Subject: Re: Election '08

Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 02:33:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:GoArmy44 wrote on Wed, 09 January 2008 17:32

Well considering that communist regimes have historically been the most brutal in regards to human rights violations...I find that assumption not too far off the mark.

<http://www.anu.edu.au/polsci/marx/classics/manifesto.html>

Read it, and when you find something about violating human rights, get back to me.

I never said anything about the Communist Manifesto. But taking people's property just because they are "bourgeois" does have a unethical taste to it.

I was talking about communist regimes such as the Soviet Union, China, Vietnam, North Korea, Cambodia, Cuba, etc, setc...that have what seems like a fraction of the civil liberties and property rights that we nonchalantly enjoy here in the U.S.

Quote:cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 09 January 2008 17:49

There's no real improvement in technology because there's no incentive to, either. Why produce something improved if there's no competition? There's little incentive to invent new technologies if you can't take advantage of supply and demand.

Which explains why Soviet Russia got man both in space and in orbit before the US did, right? Thats true, but isn't it the United States who landed on the moon? I mean, we started later in the space race yet were able to beat them..why? Because some of the technologies used to put man on the moon are now used in commercial products. This incentive to invent creates much more production of new tech from a growing number of entrepreneurs that propelled us ahead of the Russians in space.

---