
Subject: Re: Sadukar09

Posted by [Chimp](#) on Thu, 10 Jan 2008 00:26:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 09 January 2008 18:08MWright967 wrote on Wed, 09 January 2008 16:08cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 09 January 2008 11:37Maybe you should stick to not making assumptions, "sir". Did I ever say that you were mad at them? Just in case you don't know the answer to that question, I'll answer it for you. No, I didn't. In fact, I said you were obsessing over those two. Being obsessed over and angry at are two different ideas.

Now that I made that clear, I don't give a fuck what scenarios have played out for your obsession to have taken effect, but the fact that you're making it a goal to prove both people "fools" supports my idea that your obsession is that of a prepubescent child on the Internet. Laughing or not, it's still an idiotic obsession.

Perhaps if you had to put up with the crap that I do on a daily basis, you'd understand a liiiiiiiiittle better.

Everyone has an obsession. Drinking, smoking, jacking off....Any manner of things. Mine just happens to be making fools feel like fools.

Some obsessions are healthy. This one isn't.

No obsession is healthy. Thats part of the very social-definition of 'Obsession'. Being obsessed with something is essentially, an addiction. You can't control your desire to do or not do something. It could be giving away money to little crack babies, but it still wouldn't be good, because you'd lose all your money. Like I said, NO obsession is health, its just that some are worse than others.

Anyway, we're splitting hairs here, theres nothing to really argument in my opinion.
