

---

Subject: Re: Drugs ARE NOT bad

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 22 Nov 2007 21:25:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warrantoI care as much about your liberty of being able to smoke pot as you do of my liberty of not having to deal with it. If you can show me why YOUR liberty is better than MY liberty, then we'll talk about how it affects liberty.

Again, you've even admitted that someone being under the influence doesn't always impede on your rights. More times than not, they don't. I'm not defending those who impede on your rights. Those people should be punished for impeding on other rights. However, my drinking inside of my home should not be (and thankfully is not) illegal. The same, logically, should be for smoking pot.

warrantoTell you what. You promise to give your child every thing he or she ever asks for, and perhaps I will see your point.

Heh. It's my job as a parent to teach my child the difference between a want and a need. It's my job as a parent to teach my kids the difference between right and wrong. That's not the job of my government. My government's job is to protect me from external threats, not myself. I don't need nor want my hand held, especially by my government. If you want your hand held, then you can seek that help. Don't force it upon me because you're too fucking selfish to realize that I have the right to do whatever I please in the privacy of my own home or place of business that's willing to let me do as I please.

warrantoAll I see right now is a child crying that it can't get what it wants and does nothing but whine about it. This same child provides no other reasoning for getting that thing other than "I want it!!!". Sorry, but I'm sure that as any parent worth their title as parent, the child should not always get what they want. The "I deserve it because I want it... and you can't stop me!" argument does not fly, regardless of what you are trying to argue for.

What I see is someone so pretentious that they can't understand why people should be responsible for themselves. I'm an adult, and if I'm allowed to die for my country and vote for my elected leaders, I should have the responsibility of determining what goes into my body and how I react because of it.

I have no problem with restricting people from being obnoxious in public. That's public property, and the government has the responsibility to protect you from people being a public nuisance. When it comes to private property, the government should have no say. I don't care if they do. That doesn't make it right.

The "I deserve it because I want it" argument DOES fly because I'm a legal adult deciding my own fate. You choose what food you eat. You choose when you leave the house, take a shower, go to bed, watch TV, access the internet, etc... What makes this any different? Because it *could* lead to something else? Again, our authority SHOULD NOT BE a preventative force. If you really want that, then go submit yourself to a mental hospital, so they can put you in a padded room where you can be protected from yourself and others. I'll take my chances and my liberties, thank you very much.

This is what responsibility is all about. Making the decisions on your own and suffering the consequences of your actions. If my actions affect myself and/or those who allow themselves to be affected, then I should be left alone. If I subject you to something you don't want, and it's not on

private property, then I have over-stepped my liberties, and I deserve to be punished for that. Until I do, however, I should not be subjected to control by my government, especially when I'm the one who puts the fucktards in control, in the first place.

---