

---

Subject: Re: Drugs ARE NOT bad

Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 22 Nov 2007 00:19:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Can it be stopped at the source? If the past 3 decades have been any indication of things... there's no chance in Hell it can be stopped. The War on Drugs hasn't been successful, isn't successful, and shows no signs of being successful. So that means they should give in...

right...

Since political catchphrases seem to be so overused these days, I'll borrow one.

for the past ??? number of years, no one has been able to stop TERRORISM (over-dramatic music goes off). I guess we should legalize that too! After all, if you can't fight it, why bother trying?

Quote:Why would that be much different than alcohol? I don't go drinking while walking down the street. I smoke it while at home or in a bar with a few friends around me.

Guess what, though? Drinking out on the street is illegal! Imagine that! And here you are wanting to make smoking pot legal across the board, yet the very thing used in comparison is also illegal!

Smoking in a bar, huh? Can I assume every single person that walks into that bar will consent to you doing that? If not, you are a hypocrite and pretty much proved my previous point.

Quote:I called you stupid? When?

Again, I made it clear that the act doesn't guarantee that someone else's rights are being impeded on.

You implied I was stupid when you sarcastically said I was doing a good job. If that is not what you meant, I suggest you stay away from using sarcasm until you understand what it is used for.

You may have said that, but it does nothing to defeat my argument. You can not guarantee that someone else's rights WON'T be impeded on, either. Meaning some sort of other qualification must be used.

Quote:Over-burdened police force? The police around here have to pull people over to meet their ticket-quotas for the month. If anything, they have too much time on their hands. I'm sure my county isn't an anomaly.

Hmm... what happened to that argument that the police can't stop the more dangerous crime because they have to deal with enforcing the minor crimes? Guess you defeated your own argument there, if the police have too much time on their hands.

Quote:Being drunk or high doesn't necessarily make you a danger to society. Driving impaired, on the other hand, is much more detrimental to others than being slightly intoxicated while in public.

Right, unfortunately being drunk or high ENABLES the act of driving drunk, etc. Why put out the resources to stop drunk driving, when you can stop it completely by not allowing people to be drunk in public (or at all, but that's my personal view)

---