Subject: Re: The draft!

Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 14 Aug 2007 03:44:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DarkDemin wrote on Mon, 13 August 2007 23:17cheesesoda wrote on Mon, 13 August 2007 13:22Well, the Patriot Act is definitely one of them. Sure, it doesn't look bad on paper, but when you don't specify what the government can do, you let the powers be abused. Not to mention that I would rather die in a terrorist attack than know that my government could be looking over my shoulder all the time.

While I admire him being steadfast in his decisions with Iraq, he could also afford to change some of his strategies. He's not pressing the Iraqi government to progress, at all, but instead just throw in more troops and money into this "surge" that hasn't done near what it was supposed to. He needs to grow some balls with Iraq and threaten their puppet government with losing any and all support from our troops if they don't put forth the effort in preparing their troops and government for a solo fight.

I don't like the fact that he feels he can order Miers to defy a congressional subpoena to testify in court. Let's not forget about him ordering Rove not to testify, either.

Recently, I've changed my stance on stem cell research. While I will NEVER support abortion (I think it should be illegal), as long as it is legal, the embryos should be able to be used to further studies to cure diseases. As disgusted as I am by abortion, why not let this travesty be used to benefit others? Now that abortion is still legal, but certain stem cell research is, there's a lot of wasted potential.

Bush is supposed to support the shrinking of our government, not the expanding of it, yet here he is supporting the ignoring of our Bill of Rights.

Just shut the fuck up and GTFO. You're annoying "libertarianism" is annoying the piss out of me. You're "I want to walk the middle of the line" bullshit so "I'm never wrong" is getting on my nerves. Libertarianism has NOTHING to do with "walking the middle of the line". I'm still what some would consider to be "Republitarian" which, as the name suggests, is still conservative.

None of what I said in what you quoted is irrational, at all. Give me one good reason why I should give up my freedoms so some other half-wit feels safe? Why should I support a war that I would never dream of fighting, especially if there's no real progress? Sure, we're not losing as many liberals would like to claim, but we're certainly not forcing Iraq to stand on their own. Disallowing for stem-cell research doesn't deter women from getting abortions. The whole idea of an abortion is so self-important that the women don't dare think outside of their realm of comfort, so why should others have to suffer when there could be some progresses made?