

---

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:35:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:23cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:03The people defending erik are idiots. I'm not going to sit here and pretend that I care about their point of view on this situation. As soon as Mincus posts the logs, this thread will be over and done with.

look m8 if ur going 2 ignore wat ppl say wen you dont know them then your opinion dusnt matter.

look at it this way most servers have anticheat programs, he was ususing it 2 detect cheaters now if he used this maliciously 2 give him an unfair advantage WICH HE DIDN'T then it wud b a cheat,but he did not use it in this way.

THE OXFORD DICTIONARY MEANING OF "CHEAT" IS:

- verb 1 act dishonestly or unfairly in order to gain an advantage. 2 deprive of something by deceitful or unfair means. 3 avoid (something undesirable) by luck or skill: she cheated death in a spectacular crash.

ERIKBOXEM DID'NT KILL ANY 1 OR USE THE CHEAT IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

I WOULD RLY LIKE 2 SEE THOSE LOGS Mincus35 WAS TALKING ABOUT FOR I DO NOT BELEIVE HIM.

How the fuck can you spell maliciously correctly, yet completely misspell "when", "you're", "what", "people", etc...? THAT MAKES NO FUCKING SENSE.

Look, we've all agree that if he did leave to activate the cheat, then his story has SOME validity to it. We've all acknowledged that. However, if Mincus proves that he DIDN'T leave, then he had activated the cheat before joining the server and "discovering" the cheater. If that proves to be true, then you and every other dimwit defending him can be classified as brainwashed idiots.

If he DID leave and his story checks out, two questions still remain: why did he have the cheat on his computer in the first place, and why did he feel that it was his job to find the cheater on someone ELSE's server without their permission?

---