
Subject: Re: New Pentagon footage from hotel
Posted by [ron paul](#) on Thu, 28 Jun 2007 11:12:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just to kind of clarify what jball said.

Why would the government use a missile IN PLACE of an air liner. At least if they use the air liner they can say terrorists done it. You see a missile can't be hijacked by terrorists so it's going to be harder to blame it on terrorists. The missile would just be doing what it was told. Something about computers or some such controlling it? Who knows what a computer is, never heard of of that word before.

So yeah, it would probably wouldn't cost much more to arrange an air liner to hit the towers than missile. If the US government is so deceptive (and it is quite deceptive) it would use an Airliner rather than a missile to orchestrate a terrorist attack. So therefore it wasn't a missile any of you seen. So by using logic we've concluded that it was an air liner and not a missile.

Also I'd like to take this time to point out that just about everyone that posts here is barely intelligible. So don't try and back your stupid missile theories or try and explain that it was an airliner because only it would appear warranto and a few others have any understanding of basic physics.

(note: Zunnie has always been a retard anyway. That much is certain in everyones mind)

If the US government took any part in 9/11 it was to sit back and allow it to happen rather than directly orchestrating it. And it to be honest it sounds like it was orchestrated by a third party that isn't the US government. Probably the terrorist group Al Qaeda. They seem like the most likely candidates.
