

---

Subject: Re: New Pentagon footage from hotel  
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Wed, 27 Jun 2007 17:38:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

It doesnt show an airplane, it merily shows "something" crashing into the pentagon...

If it was clear by that video that a plane did crash at the pentagon we wouldnt be talking about this now.

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=L75Gga92WO8>

Try asking anybody that saw it.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Why did the lobby look like the plane hit the lobby?

The fire spread through many stories of each building. The elevator shafts were also a good deal of where the fire went through.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

[http://911research.com/talks/wtc/ndocs/tower2\\_exp1.jpg](http://911research.com/talks/wtc/ndocs/tower2_exp1.jpg)

<http://911research.com/talks/towers/docs/site1106.jpg>

Does that look like a pancaking effect to you?

Although it did pancake, there still going to be dust. Explosion, fire, etc.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Apart from that, both towers collapsed in under 15 seconds which is essentially at freefall speed.

The 47 core columns throughout the entire building had to have give way simultaneously for that to happen. But there was zero resistance all the way down.

I wouldn't expect much resistance during the fall. Some of the core was left standing during the fall of the building.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

And take a good look at the darker bands when they are collapsing you can fairly clearly see rows of explosives going off there.

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-6225595810242416389>

The air being pressurized under the fall of the building pushed the smoke out of the windows

making 'spurts' or as many of you people mistaken as bombs.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Not to mention the reports of various tv stations, police, firemen, and other civilians about bombs going off everywhere...

They didn't say that there WAS planted bombs, they said it was LIKE bombs.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

The reinforced "darker bands" that divided the building into three sections would have stopped a genuine collapse and cause the top section to topple.

Don't forget that the fire didn't only cause the building to collapse, there was a plane crashing into it too.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Similar to the WTC?

Small mistake there. No buildings similar to the WTC has been demolished that I know of. People have compared the WTC with other buildings being caught on fire, and other buildings that have been demolished. But those buildings have NO similarities of the much larger tower of the world trade center.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Also, what is up with the explosions and smoke seen at the base of the towers even before they collapse?

bluethen

The air being pressurized under the fall of the building pushed the smoke out of the windows making 'spurts' or as many of you people mistaken as bombs.

zunnie wrote on Tue, 26 June 2007 23:07

Or the pools of steel/metal that were at the base of all three buildings that collapsed that day?

No people mentioned that there were pools of metal or steel except you. I've seen dozens of videos and read dozens of articles, and again, no mentioning of "pools of metal and steel"

If you watch your 9/11 Mysteries, you'll notice MANY errors within it, as pointed out by your "Screw" 9/11 Mysteries.

Basically the "'Screw' 9/11 Mysteries" repeats of what I said, but in more of sense. Watch it and it'll prove your whole statements wrong.