
Subject: Re: An opinion piece - Donating
Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Fri, 08 Jun 2007 11:12:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The first crate is usually the most important one though. And gets collected at the time where donating usually matters most (At the game start).

One team had superior skill and what not indeed, and they got more money because of it. Seriously, if they lose the harvester, no matter how much they donate to each other, they will have far less credits than the other team. The other team can ALSO donate, so they have more credits to spend and thus still have a huge advantage.

The first bit doesn't state that these questions are the only ones that can be asked. I already proved that the westwood thing was false anyway.

'The team with better infantry skills and better co-ordination is able to obtain money more quickly than their opponents'.
This statement still applies with donate on.

The fact both teams can do it doesn't mean it should be allowed and doesn't mean it's balanced. Correct, but the following is true too:
The fact both teams can do it doesn't mean it should NOT be allowed and doesn't mean it's NOT balanced.

There are more ways to counter this orca. Note how the other team has no money left! You can kill the orca with a sniper or whatever you like, you have more money to spend and can probably (with donating or not) get multiple apaches's due to your superior start. One way or another, you will have an advantage.

Carrier, there are many of these small differences that are quite nicely balanced in renegade imo.
