
Subject: Re: Trooprm02 owned :P
Posted by [Renegade](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 04:44:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Mon, 21 May 2007 23:58cheekay77 wrote on Mon, 21 May 2007 23:02So I think seriously one of two things need to be done, and this is meant in the highest respect to you- You need to either, poll him up for a ban, a 3 month/6 month, Perm, your choice.

Or, immediately lock threads that turn into "lets bash troop" threads.
No offense to you, but I see no reason why she should have to do this. It's kind of another one of those "I can't be responsible for my own actions, so I'll have others do it for me" situations.

Is troop causing any harm to the forums? Some may argue "yes" because he's being an annoyance, but in all reality, we have those who keep fanning the flames. The fire isn't to blame. It's those who started the fire who should have their feet held to the flame.

Troop is only an annoyance to those who pay attention to him. If those people put him on ignore like responsible people, troop wouldn't be such the annoyance. Instead, he'd fade away into the abyss like an old internet fad. Sure, he'll have his flare ups trying to force more attention towards him, but it shouldn't be all that hard to avoid... if people were responsible enough for their actions.

The only reason why threads like these are successful is because you people desire to fan the flames. They shouldn't have to be locked. They should never have to get past the first page.

I think it's time you people use self-restraint and stop defaulting to Crimson to "fix" things that were caused by you.

You are 100% right. Which is why I'm starting this now, while some of us are still ahead. Sure, we've all bashed troop one way or another, but I think we need to start leaving him alone. And if he still decides to be an idiot, ban him. Others have gotten banned for reasons simliar to this, why can't he be banned for this?
