

---

Subject: Re: New Canadian Gun Law

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 30 Apr 2007 14:33:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gbull wrote on Wed, 25 April 2007 13:20 You're missing the point. They wouldn't take our guns away, they would just require you to be sane to own one. Do YOU want an insane person handling a gun? If you're in favor of the mentally incompetent owning a gun then I'll rebut with a series of Ad Hominem replies

Actually, if everybody was armed, it really wouldn't matter if an insane person had a firearm or not. Though, I do agree with restricting mentally ill people from owning a gun.

However, I don't think that this method would work. Criminals are criminals for a reason... they break the law. If they want a gun, and they've broken the law before with no disregard, what makes you think they're going to be stopped with these silly little interviews?

The only thing it's going to do, as Java said, is make it a lengthier process and probably add cost, making it harder for law-abiding citizens to own a gun.

Face it, gun control laws don't work because all they do is keep weapons out of the hands of law-abiding citizens. Sure, there are a few loose cannons that go on rampages, and they own a gun legally. The only fix to that is to outlaw all guns. However, there's one HUGE, glaring flaw to that... doesn't stop the criminals. In fact, it'd give criminals even more incentive to break the law because the only people who can bring arms up against them would be local law enforcement. There'd be no more danger from law-abiding citizens trying to protect themselves and their property.

---