
Subject: Re: Treatment of convicted cheaters
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 10 Jan 2007 11:02:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My humble opinion on all this after having read through it all on both forums and after MSN conversation with Spooky. Remember, I consider myself an outsider in this whole "vendetta":

1. If the cw.cc community and renforums community could work together to counter cheaters then they should. The contents of Spooky's proposal does seem to me worthwhile as it is a solution which can work in short term without a lot of effort, but based on cooperation from the community. I technically believe that xwis bans will make cheaters think twice, especially because some people are working at ways to make sure people without a valid xwis serial will not be able to join the server. I also believe there are better ways but they will ask more time and cooperation with xwis/EA.

2. To be able to cooperate, you need a basis of respect and objectivity from both sides, otherwise you will fail miserably. At this moment, I tend to believe both sides have problems with this point.

Spooky is very bad in packing his proposals, as is a big part of the cw.cc community (many seem to find it a good idea to throw in a lot of insults when things aren't exactly going the way it should go). There's a lot of prejudices already instored and as long as both sides won't go to the core of the problem and focus objectively on what can be done with respect towards eachother, all this hasn't got the slightest chance of succeeding. So yes: Spooky's proposal makes sence but what a terrible public relations person you make if you use a perfectly sensible proposal to throw in a couple of other issues to make the other partie look bad. If you REALLY want a peace treaty, then don't you think it would be good to pack your proposal as one? Where's the "let's forget about the past, I want to work with you and not against you"? In your mind, you may think of things as completely objective and maybe they are (I won't judge) but that's no reason to write them down as if in a proposal ment as peace treaty.

Then again, Crimson: you are correct that this wasn't exactly packed as what you could call a peace treaty but you take the opportunity to throw this back at Spooky and, at the meanwhile, throw away the complete proposal.

Both your reactions make me ask the question "do you both want what's best for the renegade community or do you want what you propose as being best for the Renegade community"?

Wouldn't it be fair to strip the proposal of political stuff and discuss the proposal itself before discussing who can administrate the whole thing? But before doing this: dress a seperate, clear "peace treaty" in which both parties agree to forget about past problems and agree to respect and defend eachother statements in public forums. PLEASE keep your vendetta away from public forums, for the love of God: it doesn't benefit the renegade community AT ALL, it only makes us look like clowns towards xwis and EA.

IMO it would be best for everyone to have a third person, trusted by both parties, with a good knowledge of cheaters, administrate this anti-cheating proposal. Whoever this could be is an open question but ain't important at all if you two can't work at above problems first.

3. If you guys REALLY cannot get over all of this and if you REALLY believe there is no way to make bridges, then the only solution is to do what Crimson has said so far: stay FAR away from eachother but then you need an agreement to do this and to stop the stupid fighting/disrespecting. But if you agree to do this anyway, then you may as well work together on some projects, right?

My two cents, hopefully this can make something work.
