
Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:45:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jschultz9 wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:40I kept the box online for Kam to amke things easy for him. I wasnt gonna put Kam in a bad way just to suit fl00d3d or anyone else. this wasnt Kams fault, therefore he shouldnt be punished for something he didnt do.

Thats my way of looking at it. If you dont like it, im sorry. Im not gonna punish Kam for renting a nick.

We don't think what you've done is morally wrong. However, in the eyes of law, what you did was just keep the agreement between TA and Kamuix valid. In order for it to have been voided, you would have had to let it "hurt" Kamuix for a short period of time. Hell, even one minute probably would be sufficient, if you didn't have any agreements as to how long the box could be down for until it voided the contract.
