
Subject: Re: A sniff of democracy (aka ACK vote)
Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 19:29:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Fri, 06 October 2006 20:24: the17doctor no! i was actually proving that there were laws and that we could be trying him for one of those, whether he broke on or not.

remember, this is a democracy, it is 'the people' who decide whether they want him here or not, whether he has done anything 'wrong'

This forum is not a democracy, you idiot. This thread is holding a democratic vote, yes. However, like I said, he's being tried on something that's not even against the law. I might as well be tried in front of a court for smoking a cigar out in my yard. It's seen as "bad" by a lot of people, but it's not against any law.

the17doctor also, another thing, wrong and right are points of view; if people on this forum believe he is in the wrong and want rid of him, then they will.

Actually, Crimson has the ultimate authority on this. She can choose not to ban him after tomorrow. The people can't do shit. (See, semantics. I'm not actually proving anything, I'm just splitting hairs.)

I never said that wrong and right aren't subjective, but when we're talking about ridding someone, usually there should be a good reason for it other than "I don't like him".

the17doctor too sophisticated for you? or is it just something you can state so you don't actually have to argue against it?

I use semantics all of the time. It's nothing but splitting hairs. It's no better than rhetoric at proving a point.

you're right

in the end, it comes down to whether crimson wants to ban him or not; cos if she did want to ban him quickly, then she would've ban him already
