

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 31 Aug 2006 01:16:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Wed, 30 August 2006 06:04ugh.. Time and time again I've stated this. You can not use Religion to debate the existance of God.

Religion, even with it's God-derived holy books, is still a human interpretation of something. It's inherrent falability does not dictate the non-existance of a God.

The Christian faith is NOT the absolute authority on all-things-God. Proving it is fallible will NOT prove that God does not exist.

Religion being good or bad does NOT prove or disprove the existance of God.

If someone is not going to believe in God's existance due to the failings of ANY religion, then I feel sorry for them. Not because they no longer believe God exists, nor becaues they're "going to Hell". I feel sorry for them because that is such pathetic reasoning on their part. That's just as bad as me stating: Crimson's idea's of following the rules are faulty, because of the special treatment her brother gets. Therefore, because there is some fault in the way Crimson does things, she must not exist!

That's funny that you speak in such absolutes. I'm sure a Christian would disagree with you, for while you (if I understand you correctly) believe that there's a God but religion is made by man, fallible, and faulty, there are millions of others who believe the bible is the word of God etc. So don't SCOLD me for speaking in absolutes and then go and do the same thing you accuse me of. These are YOUR beliefs on how God is in your opinion.

---