
Subject: Re: OT: The FX5200

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 30 Aug 2006 20:50:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Xylaquin wrote on Wed, 30 August 2006 15:01Caveman wrote on Wed, 30 August 2006 17:19May I recommend that you ditch the FX5200 and get the FX5500 256. There wont be a HUGE difference but its better then the 52 and its cheaper. Actually the XFX FX5200 256 has better clocks speeds. Plus it has two heads for dual screens- unless i can dual screens with the onboard aswell.

Caveman

From what I can see you're using a Intel P4/Celeron S775.

Try this mother board.

http://www.newegg.com/Product/Product.asp?Item=N82E168131351_64

That won't fit in my case. At xmas last year i got £400, so lets pretend thats my budget if I get the same money this year. If I get a new mobo, it needs an AGP/PCI-e x16 slot, and preferably 4 DDR PC3200 slots. Then I'd need to buy the ram, then i'd need to buy the GPU and maybe a new case if the new mobo doesnt fit. Plus I want to buy a sound card and MIDI keyboard, and all this in £400 won't work.

Like I've said before, I'm not looking for the latest games. I don't use my comp primarily for games- I compose music (hence the soundcard etc), browse the net, and play older games like Ren. And about BF2: low settings don't bother me- for the past 6 years I've played on onboard graphics.

The reviews of the mobo i was gonna get show that there is bad PCI-e x16 support:

http://www.newegg.com/Product/CustRatingReview.asp?Item=N82E_16813131586

Why did you even ask for feedback when you're just going to get the FX5200, anyways? You obviously seem too hard headed to realize that everybody who has/had it says it sucks and does the bare minimum. Why settle for that? ESPECIALLY since you can get graphics cards so much better than that for about the same price, why settle?
