

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 21:08:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I still don't get it, I guess. I don't believe there's a "God". I don't know why I have to prove he doesn't exist. If you want me to believe in "God", I need proof.

If someone tells me that that I can turn this radio on and adjust an antenna and I'll receive music from waves in the air, I can turn on the radio and get music and believe them.

If someone tells me that if I turn on a light bulb, then light waves will come from the bulb and bounce off nearby objects and hit receptors in my eyeball, and I turn on a light and can see things around me, I believe in the existence of light waves.

But, if someone tells me that there's a holy being in the sky (or wherever you say he is) that I can see NO trace of evidence for, then I'm just not going to believe that "he" exists.

I won't say that I know for a fact that there is no "God", but it ranks low on the probability charts. Really, really low.

The problem is that I'm stuck with two sets of people:

- 1) Those of you who believe in "God" AND one of the religions that worships "him".
- 2) Those of you who believe no religion has it right and all forms of worship are bullshit, but you believe in a conscious being who created us.

When I argue against one, the other one attacks me. And you guys can't even see it, or maybe you thrive on it to continue to look like you're getting somewhere.

---