

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Dover](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:20:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31Ugh.. as I said... they ALL believe that God exists. I mean, how many different types of lightbulbs are there? How many different types of electrical current? How many different types of computer processors? IF you're going to argue the particulars of a subject, then NOTHING is consistent. I mean, radio waves have a near infinite type of amplitude and frequency.

No, they don't all believe God exists. I don't. The buddhaist belief doesn't believe. Some religions believe in many Gods, which the Christian faith does not. Some religions believe in one god which is a God different from the Christian God.

All lightbulbs function the same way. All computer processors compute the same information. All radio waves have the same, constant shape.

There is nothing about religion that's consistent.

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31I'm not comparing ancient beliefs of rain and current beliefs of radio, I'm comparing the source of the belief as to how they are. BOTH deal with someone telling someone else how they work, and that receiving person simply believing it at face value.

I'd think that radio's inventor (Whoever he/she may be) or constructor (again, whoever he/she may be) will be more of an authority on how the radio works than an ancient holy man is an authority on how rain works.

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31And that proves his lack of existence... how?

It doesn't, but it makes proving his existence quite a bit harder. And if you can't prove his existence, what do you have to begin with? You, Warranto, can't disprove the existence of the invisible purple flying unicorn, but that doesn't mean that the invisible purple flying unicorn exists.

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31Once again, you're measuring the particularities of a human, not humanity itself.

How else do you measure something? You measure how big, how wide, how many, what color, it's mass, etc.

I say again, God has no particularities to measure. None of those things I just mentioned apply.

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31

The bible is also considered to be the most accurately and carefully translated text. No other historical text can boast that either.

<http://www.greatsite.com/timeline-english-bible-history/>

(Link speaks to how accuracy was a focal point)

If you can't trust the accuracy of the bible, you can't trust the accuracy of ANY translated historical

text.

Perhaps it was a bad idea to bring up how well the bible has been translated, since I don't know much about it. In any case, you're probably right here.

warranto wrote on Mon, 28 August 2006 07:31 Not quite. The Christian interpretation of God would not exist. The lack of an interpretation does not disprove the existence of anything.

That's my point. God has never moved beyond an idea, a theory. This, partly, accounts for God's lack of measureability. How do you measure an idea?

---