Subject: Re: Jesus Posted by warranto on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:31:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: Is that some kind of joke? There are a million and a half religions all with their own idea of how God works, and they defend it to the death. It's truely a rare thing to get two random people together and have them agree on religion. The very fact that we're argueing about this now is proof that religion isn't consistant. Meantioning all the wars fought and attrocities commited because of religions would be overkill.

Ugh.. as I said... they ALL believe that God exists. I mean, how many different types of lightbulbs are their? How many different types of electrical current? How many different types of computer processors? IF you're going to argue the particulars of a subject, then NOTHING is consistent. I mean, radio waves have a near infinite type of amplitude and frequency.

Quote:You can't compare the rain and radios. Radios are made by man. They're a tool constructed from raw materials for a purpose. Their inventor (a man), and constructor (also a man), would be considered an authority on how the radio works. If you can't believe them, who can you believe?

I'm not comparing ancient beliefs of rain and current beliefs of radio, I'm comparing the source of the belief as to how they are. BOTH deal with someone telling someone else how they work, and that recieving person simply believing it at face value.

Quote:At any rate, humans having measureable aspects is more than you can say for God. You can't even find God, much less measure him/her. How are you going to point at something and say "There, that right there. That's God."

And that proves his lack of existance... how?

Quote:No, we're not just chemicals. There's a spark there in a live body that's missing in a dead body. Conciousness, personality. That's what you mean, right? Who says that can't be measured? There are personality tests and other mountains of garbage like that. Dating websites and "See-which-career-is-right-for-you" tests are based on being able to measure personality. It may not be perfect, or even accurate in some cases, but it's a measurement nonetheless.

Once again, you're measuring the particularities of a human, not humanity itself.

Quote:The bible has been translated and retranslated more times than either you or I can fathom. I'm sure that there aren't two words next to each other that have been kept the same. No other historical text can boast this, giving the bible a wider margin of error than any other historical text.

The bible is also considered to be the most accurately and carefully translated text. No other historical text can boast that either.

http://www.greatsite.com/timeline-english-bible-history/

(Link speaks to how accuracy was a focal point)

If you can't trust the accruacy of the bible, you can't trust the accuracy of ANY translated historical text.

Quote: If the bible itself did not exist, was never written, all copies were destroyed, or whatever, modern Christianity would not exist. Does that mean that them modern Christian God would not exist? I say he/she would not.

Not quite. The Christian interpretation of God would not exist. The lack of an interpretation does not disprove the existance of anything.