

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Dover](#) on Sun, 27 Aug 2006 22:39:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 15:32Crimson wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 17:27Is God willing to prevent evil, but not able? Then he is not omnipotent. Is he able, but not willing? Then he is malevolent. Is he both able and willing? Then whence cometh evil? Is he neither able nor willing? Then why call him God?

Thus is the paradox that disproves the combined omnipotence, omnipresence, and omniscience of this so-called God.

Why does it have to be one or the other? Why can't he desire to have no "evil", be able to prevent it, yet restrain Himself? Is it because that's not your view on how a god should act? If so, who are you to define that which you cannot measure?

It's entirely possible that God chooses to let man have free-will, therefore He restrains Himself from preventing man from having that free-will.

If such is the case, j\_ball, what does God do? Why does he/she exist, if not to guard mankind from evil? If God does nothing, why should mankind worship him/her?

Isn't it also entirely possible that God is a human invention, thought up to explain the unexplainable and give the masses some comfort?

Edit: Looking back, this sounds a bit flimsy. Disregard this post if you'd like...

---