
Subject: Re: Are YOU a Pirate???

Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 08:57:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Fri, 18 August 2006 13:45myselfDo you jack off to pictures of big, burly, hairy vikings? You seem to be in love with them. "OMG, SUM1 SED VIKINGZ WUD LOZE. HOW DARE TEHY?!?!?!?!?"

You miss my point completely. If a viking encounters a pirate, he's not going to have a whole arsenal of weapons. He's just going to have one and a shield. Stop with the bullshit. Vikings fail and that's all there is to it. They got conquered. They can't possibly be that invincible.

Dover wrote on Fri, 18 August 2006 13:37As for not being able to use more than one, I point to Baleog the Fierce from The Lost Vikings, who carries both a sword and a bow.

Now, while they may not carry all of those weapons, certainly a bow and a sword is feasible, if not probable.

So, Pirate, armed with Cutlass and pistol going against a Viking armed with a sword and bow. I could add the shield in and also have it be realistic, but that's overkill.

~ Cutlass vs Sword, sword wins, since the heavy blows from the Viking are likely to break the cutlass under their weight.

~ Pistol vs Sword, because pistols are fairly short-ranged and innaccurate, several things can happen:

- The pistol misses, while the pirate attempts to reload he gets his head chopped off.
- The pistol hits, but it doesn't kill. In a reload attempt the pirate gets his head chopped off.
- The pistol misses, the pirate abandons reloading it and grabs his cutlass. See above.
- The pistol hits, but it doesn't kill. The pirate abandons reloading it and grabs his cutlass.

Because the Viking is wounded, he stands a better chance now.

- The pistol hits and kills the Viking in one shot. This is unlikely because of the quality of pistols back then, but it's still a possibility.

~ Pistol vs Bow, The Viking would likely win because he can reload faster, and his bow would be more accurate. In an earlier comment, you said that only an excelant marksmen would do well with a bow, but you also said that Vikings are barbarians, and all they know is war. If that's true, than the Viking wouldn't have the bow in the first place unless he was an excelant marksman.

~ Cutlass vs Bow, several things can happen:

- The pirate gets two arrows in the chest before he can get in range for his cutlass and dies.
- The pirate gets hit, but doesn't die. The Viking keeps trying to poke holes in the pirate with arrows and ends up getting a cutlass in his gut.
- The pirate gets hit, but doesn't die. The Viking grabs his sword and takes an easy victory, since the Pirate is wounded and the Viking would have won anyway (See above).
- The pirate doesn't get hit at all. The Viking grabs his sword and kills the pirate (see above).
- The Pirate doesn't get hit at all. The Viking keeps trying to poke holes in the pirate with arrows

and ends up getting a cutlass in his gut.

Obviously, unless the Viking does something blaringly stupid (Which he won't, since he's a barbarian and all he knows is war), The Viking wins. The average Viking is stronger, faster, or agile, and able to take more hits than the average Pirate.

Yeah, Vikings aren't action movie hero rambos, but they're as close as humans have ever gotten.
