

---

Subject: Re: hope none of you are them  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Mon, 24 Jul 2006 13:11:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hydra wrote on Mon, 24 July 2006 07:38

That was a summary of a certain newsletter. Obviously, if you wanted to read the support for those claims, you would have to read the newsletter.  
Those three points were not the author's the evidence but rather an overview of what he already wrote.

Why couldn't we say the very same thing about every single scientific text that has been published since Aristotle?

you can say the same thing about scientific text.  
the text that i quoted from was the introduction, but why lie about the body of the page in the introduction?

the reason (i think) that people oppose god/gods is that there is no hard evidence of his/her existence. people say the bible but like i said that could be a story made up by someone 1000 years ago. people go the scientific way because it is like an opposite to religion but the scientific way doesn't need any evidence. plus the science way admits that they don't know what happens when you die.

also when you look at when the world was created, i believe that it was created when clouds of particles started heating up and clumped together. the moon was created when a massive object hit earth (big splash).

also, god had done a lot for people 2000 years ago (allegedly) but why not now? why is it that there is not many people that say "yesterday i was blind, now i can see again".

---