
Subject: Re: hope none of you are them
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Mon, 24 Jul 2006 06:38:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WAY TO QUOTE OUT OF CONTEXT.

Quote:Introduction

In this series of newsletters I am seeking to set forth the case for Christianity. In Part 1 of this series I laid out the argument for the reasonableness of our faith. The argument consists of three premises:

- 1.The Bible is a basically reliable and trustworthy document of history.
- 2.On the basis of this we have sufficient evidence to believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God.
- 3.Jesus Christ teaches that the Bible is the very Word of God.

Conclusion: Since the Bible is the Word of God, then Christianity is true.

That was a summary of a certain newsletter. Obviously, if you wanted to read the support for those claims, you would have to read the newsletter.

Those three points were not the author's the evidence but rather an overview of what he already wrote.

Why couldn't we say the very same thing about every single scientific text that has been published since Aristotle?

P.S. Crimson, I'm curious as to what you read that brought you to your current state of thinking; did you mix in some religious texts with your atheistic reading, or did you just stick with documents that "disproved" the existence of God?
