
Subject: Re: Crimson, throw the towel in
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 26 Jun 2006 10:53:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

null wrote on Sun, 25 June 2006 18:36 I seriously doubt that because Clanwars.cc cant be access by any Westwood game client. Rendering it just a third party ladder, no different than any other game ladder.

This was my statement:

MaidenTy1 wrote:Clanwars.cc currently has better clan support for Renegade than anything XWIS or BHS could ever hope to create... not that I've seen any signs of them trying to in the last year or so.

When it happens, I'll admit I'm wrong. Until it happens, if it ever does, I'm right. Sorry.

Since I became a Clanwars.cc staff member (summer 2005?) there hasn't been a two-day stretch where our league wasn't being constantly supported, constantly evolving to the needs of Renegade in regards to clan support. On the other hand, since October 2005, the "official" Renegade ladder has had, wait for it, nothing. No one overseeing it at all, not to mention the fact it doesn't even work.

I posed this question about the "official" Renegade ladder.

"Let's say I played a clanwar on the "official" league. What are the rules? What if someone breaks them, or outright cheats against me? How would I report that, and who to? Could I get the game removed/fixed? Would they receive a penalty?"

It's been nearly three weeks and nobody seems able to answer it. You've got a choice between a league run by professionals, constantly updating and improving, and sponsored by EA.... or nothing. I'm sorry, but choosing the nothing is madness. Again, I invite anybody here to prove me wrong about this: show me a Renegade ladder that's a quarter as good as Clanwars.cc's, let alone better.

Your thread is basically about how the most competent/suitable people should handle Renegade. Well, speaking of Renegade's clan support, we at Clanwars.cc are not only the most competent and suitable people, we're also the only people, and for the third time let me say I'd love to be proved wrong on that point.
