Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.

Posted by Javaxcx on Sat, 10 Jun 2006 23:56:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Because one fits the equation better than the other. If the universe is the end all and be all of existence, then it lends that the universe is exactly the sum of its parts and we couldn't possibly experience anything ever (again, see those links I provided) so we wouldn't even be aware of it. The opposite suggests that the universe isn't the end all and be all of existence; something more probable because we are able to sit here today and debate it. That's one way to look at it. There are others, but I don't want to trail off on several tangents at once. I'll stick to the transcendental idealism approach because it makes a great case for being a probable creator.

The point of creation is really a moot point anyway. The issue is that we were created, not when we were created or the nature of such an event. So creation might be before the big bang, at the big bang, or even after the big bang. Chances are we'll not know for certain. We can only speculate as to when based on what we experience today and tomorrow.