Subject: Saddam = ownt.
Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Tue, 13 May 2003 23:21:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Commando no. 448Take note in my very first comparison I stated that they were taking A RADICAL PRESIDENT OUT OF POWER! Now let me add some more. Let's say that the president was deemed radical when he destroyed a socialist government the people worked for years to establish. And that the common consensus was that the populas wanted to destroy the old symbols of past presidents (primarily mount Rushmore) as they move into a new age of no supreme federal leader. So now would you, in the role of an Iraqi soilder who has liberated America, help the populas destroy the symbols of the "old regime" of presidents. Or would you try to stop them from destroying their past no matter how hated. Now I am going to leave this arguement to stand on its own as this is about all the work I want to put back in this discussion that I thought had closed. Now I am off to play Counter-Strike and don't expect much more input from me afterwards in this one topic.

Now, can you actually come up with a comparision that isn't total bullshit? It's no more than comparing apples with oranges. Mount Rushmore was created to honor our our most valuable leaders that helped create and shape this country. The many statues and pictures of Saddam all around Iraq were created to show their people who's in charge, to install fear among them, to give Saddam just that much more power, a common fad among dictators.