Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf. Posted by JohnDoe on Sat, 03 Jun 2006 12:41:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:That was the so-called laws of the universe are as provable as the existence of God, the existence of molecules, the existence of your favourite colour, or even the existence of yourself one second from now. The world of our preceptions is not very distant from the world of our minds.

I know, but it also means that the philisopher doesn't hit the road block, thus isn't synchronous.

Quote:Err... Huh?

What does that have to do with Science getting something wrong?

My way of saying "You were right!".

Quote:Err, I've said this time and again, that the existance or lack thereof of God can not be proven... The people who have "proven" that molecules exist must rely on something outside of their control to prove it (ouside of the control does not include the senses, which we will, for sanities sake, assume are "perfect"). That there is not proof, but trust once again.

But, to continue with the point I was making, the people who have claimed to proven God exists, can walk you through it just as easily.

Someone had to make the things that are outside of their control, so for sanity's sake, I assume that they don't try to screw you ever.

How can they walk me through it? God never shows himself after the "holy book" is written...if you're aiming at the people who saw God in their steak, then...yea, I'd probably kick them in the nuts for wasting my time.

Quote:That was one interpretation, far before the time that I am referring to. The Greek/Roman polytheism died out long before the idea that the sun revolved around the earth was wrong. It wasn't until the early 1600's that it was proven wrong. Unless, you are suggesting that science didn't exist before then.

That interpretation lead to people believing that it was the sun instead of the Sungod, that's all. The roots are found in Religion.

Quote:Why, then?

And please, don't go off again about things being provable.

Uhm I said something wrong the last time.

Quote:We have to rely on not being involved in any conspiracy, so that we can call those things that belong in the .0001% "proven". ^This should be changed in "belong in the 99.9999%".

What do you mean by "Why, then?"?

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums