

---

Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2006 20:15:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:My post reinforces warranto's issues.

If Philosophy and Religion are so synchronous, then how should I understand this?

Quote:Eventually you'll get to a point where the biologist needs to prove the so-called "laws" that govern the universe. This is where science fails in lieu of agnostic philosophy.(...)But if you keep asking the big question "why", the priest hits the same road block; however clearly a lot faster than the biologist.

Quote:not really, otherwise they would not have said "nothing can travel faster.."

Zzing

Quote:People have said they have proven molecules exist. It's up to you to believe them or not. People have claimed to have proven God exists (hence the emergence of different religions), but you have to choose to believe them or not.

The people who said they have proven molecules exist can walk you through to proving it yourself...the people that have "proven" God exists can't, I wonder why.

Quote:

Actually, the sun moving around the earth has its roots in science. Someone looked up into the sky, saw that the sun moved across the sky, in a continuous motion, and concluded with that evidence that the sun moves around the earth.

Caloric was something Scientists made up to explain the phenomenon of heat transfer, and held it as truth in the absence of anything to the contrary.

It was the Sungod cruising around...

Yea, that's exactly what I've been saying...that's everything but a scientific approach.

Quote:There never has been, as far as we (meaning you and me), as individuals, can ascertain. Just as there is no proof (99% or 1%) to the existence of anything that we have not discovered for ourselves. It all comes down to a matter of belief. Both science and religion rely on that aspect (well, all things in humanity do) to succeed. There is no way we can honestly say "I know", unless we have experienced it ourselves, and can trust that our senses are not fooling us ( and for all intents and purposes, they don't... but it's still a concern)

You can never be sure that your senses aren't fooling you...that's why I said that nothing can really be proven 100% before. We have to rely on not being involved in any conspiracy, so that we can call those things that belong in the .0001% "proven". God, however, doesn't belong into that category, the existance of molecules does.

---