
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2006 09:28:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Point is, it's still travelling faster than what was thought to be the extreme speed limit.

It's not the type of light they made the rules for I guess..

Quote:Actually, what he's saying is that Philosophy and Religion argue differently about the same thing. Kind of like apples and oranges. Not the same, but they are both in the "fruit" category. That's where Philosophy and Religion are the same. They argue the same thing, using the same "formulas", just argue it in a different manner.

I'm not trying to argue the "apples and oranges" here, I'm trying to get you to see that they are both fruit.

Philosophy digs deeper, but whatever, I guess you could both put them into the fruit category for your aspect.

Quote:And Being possible is all I need to prove mine.

They weren't mislabeled. Religion held its perception to be true, even though it wasn't, just as Science held its perception to be true, even though it wasn't. Both were made claim to by their respective area.

That's the "possible" taking out of context. I said it's possible that I feel the need to learn everything and am a genius, thus am able to walk those steps. Your possible is that everything's possible.

The world being flat has its roots in Religion, so you might as well put it in that area as well. Just because some so-called scientists were kissing religion's ass doesn't mean it's science since there's absolutely nothing scientific about it.

Quote:But it still isn't certain that this belief is true. Sure, may seem to be true 99% of the time, but there is still the possibility that it may not come true. And, after all, you did say:

Quote:

But, from a logical point of view, assuming that there is something you have no proof of whatsoever makes no sense at all.

Changing the definition to suit your needs doesn't make it any less than a lack of "proof" to make it 100%. Anything less, and (as I mentioned before) is simply a belief that what is thought of to be true, is.

That's what we humans call "proof", tho...but you're right, I should've made that distinction earlier.
