
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Wed, 31 May 2006 17:28:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:There is no universal law governing Science, so why should religion be saddled with it?

?!There are universal laws of physics..

Quote:That's nice. Tell me again how a false religion proves God doesn't exist?

It doesn't bring it up...you're the one who said that religious text is supposed to be proof for God, so I just went along and showed how easy it is to make that stuff up, thus it can't be called proof.

Quote:

Ugh, I believe I mentioned this before. There are clues or evidence that suggest God exists, you just refuse to believe them as clue or evidence.

It's hearsay.

Quote:Philosophy backs up science, as per your statement.

Philosophy is the same as religion. Dealing with the currently unprovable.

Religion backs up the existance of God.

If Philosophy is acceptable for backing up science as truth, then Religion should be acceptable as backing up God as truth.

Philosophy isn't the only thing that backs up science, clues and evidence do, too. Religion however doesn't try to prove anything.

Quote:

Logical does not equal truth, just aa illogical does not equal untruth.

Nope, I'm just telling you why I believe the way I do.

Quote:

That's nice. So you are choosing to believe what someone else told you it is. A definition is only what the current generation makes it. Definitions change over time, and only prove what is real right now.

Remember: The definition of the earth, not that long ago was that it was a flat piece of rock, where you could fall off the edge if you went to far. It must have been true, as "It's the friggan definition"

The appropriate definition would be more like the place where we humans live; which hasn't changed at all.

Quote:Well, nothing I can do about your inability to grasp things.

OK let's go back in time and look what your argument was:

Quote:I have no reason to even begin to try to explain why or why not God may be the starting point.

Nothing I can do about your inability to explain things..

Quote:

See my point above. I didn't blame science for something that isn't science. I blame you for thinking that science is always right, all the time, and any wrong of science is somehow different that a wrong of religion.

If science actually manages to prove things, then why shouldn't I believe it? For the 5th time or so...don't call it science, since it was neither proven nor was there any evidence of it's truthfulness, which is exactly what science isn't about.

Quote:How many times do I have to say it. If you can prove it beyond a shadow of a doubt, then prove it. I've been waiting for you to do this the entire time, yet all you do is make mention of your ability to do it.

Are you retarded? So if someone says "I could throw a stone through that window" your response will be "HAY I WONT BELIEVE YOU UNTIL YOU DO IT!!!", right?

Quote:And if I don't need to prove it?

Then it's your intuition and irrational. Live with it..

Quote:Once again, if you can prove it, then prove it. I never claimed to be able to prove the existence of God, nor can it be proven that he doesn't exist.

Read above...I'm still waiting for a reason to believe in God BTW.
