
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 30 May 2006 14:49:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Like what? That God exists? Well that's basically what religion means, so it's not a rule...everybody can start his own religion packed with utter nonsense and make it as unrefutable as any of the major ones.

Rules relating to what is acceptable as religious text, rules as to what qualifies (as least in the Roman Catholic faith) as a "miracle".

As for making up your own religion, that is true. However, science can, and has, done the same thing. You just need to falsify every, just as you would need to falsify every with a fake religion.

Besides, we're not arguing the quality of a religion, but the idea of the existance of God himself. You can have an infinite number of wrong religions without being wrong about the existance of God. A religion is just one interpretation of someone's idea of God.

Quote:Philosophy can help science, but it won't prove stuff, just like religions won't...science however can.

Right. Thank you for proving my point.

Quote:I'm so sorry...I said it wrong the first time and corrected myself in the following 3 or 4 times, so I would have guessed my position was quite clear.

Not when you keep relating it back to your first post in attempting to refute my point.

Quote:The ingredients, not the Universe.

Ah, so you're saying that the universe is it's own package, and the matter is simply expanding to fill the universe.

Ok, prove it.

Quote:Aren't you someone else?

That I am. Nice avoidance of the arugment though. Although, I thank you for conceding that my points have the same validity as a scientist.

Quote:They were mis-labelled as scientific truths.

I don't know where you're going with your pre-evolved forms, but if there is evidence for them, then they are a serious possibility, if there is not (like with a flat earth or God), then believing in

them is irrational.

Hind-sight is 20/20 isn't it? They weren't mislabeled at the time they were proclaimed to be true. They were honestly thought to be the correct answer.

Pre-evolved means how something existed before today. This includes anything and everything.

Quote:If I wanted and was smart enough, I could teach myself what I need to prove the existence of molecules...can you do the same with the religious text?

I highly doubt that as you can not see molecules with the naked eye. It has nothing to do with intelligence, but simple genetics. Humans can not see something that small, just as People can not see God.

But lets take your point anyways. I can prove the existence of religious text, I just need to go to my local book store and purchase one.
