
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 28 May 2006 22:23:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JohnDoe wrote on Sun, 28 May 2006 02:15
Scientists have evidence or clues to support their theories, the religions have not.

Religion has as much "evidence" as science does. Religious text that explain things , Religious leaders who have personal knowledge relating to God and documented "experiments" that can only qualify if they have no scientific explanation.

The only difference is who you choose to believe, as you have no personal knowledge of almost anything science or religion claims.

Quote:It proves how life can start out of the chemicals the world was made of

Please don't contradict yourself in the same sentence. You can not prove how something "can", you can only prove how something "did".

True, the experiments give an explanation as to how life "can" start, but it in no way proves how life "did" start.

Another possibility (without invoking the word "God") is that we spontaneously generated. After all, if the universe can do it, so should we be able to. Or, we were not created, but we always existed, in some other form than we had now. There is not always a chemical reaction required to change forms (ie. evolution), so perhaps humanity came to exist through reasons of that.
