Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf. Posted by JohnDoe on Sat, 27 May 2006 23:55:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:

True, in one you leave a possibility open for the alternative. It doesn't matter though, in both instances you express that the idea "makes no sense", and is "nuts". In both instances, you qualify it as not existing; it "might not exist, therefore I don't believe" and "it doesn't exist, therefore I don't believe" both convey the same message: that you don't think something exists.

Yes, now tell me what's wrong with that? The existance of God is highly unlikely to me, since the only "clue" is intuition, which isn't a clue at all. I'm still leaving the opportunity open for God existing after all, tho, since I can't prove that he doesn't exist, same goes for the pink unicorns.

Quote: The Religious text don't contradict each other. They ALL state that God exists. The only problem is that each text states one is more right than the other. That isn't a contradiction, just a disagreement on the fact.

Uhm that God exists is the only thing they agree on...call it disagreement on everything else then, my point was that it doesn't help their crediability in either way.

Quote: You state that Science has proven how life has started and evolved. I challenge you to show me this proof.

Life has evolved through mutation and selection.

Life can start through chemical reactions which has been done in an experiment...I'll get more material tomorrow if you haven't heard of that before.

Quote: As for the "labeling things as proof", who is to say that something hasn't been mislabeled? Do you have anything that could suggest the label given something is the correct one?

Intuition is neither clue, evidence or proof, Simple as that. It's a bit late and I'm tired, so tell me tomorrow if I missed what you were trying to bring across by that.