Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf. Posted by Javaxcx on Fri, 26 May 2006 17:47:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JohnDoe wrote on Fri, 26 May 2006 04:41Take the intelligent away then and leave some kind of life form and answer my question.

You misunderstand. It doesn't have to be intelligent, it doesn't have to be unintelligent, it doesn't even have to be alive. The fact is, I don't KNOW or pretend to know what it is that probably caused the universe into being. I merely know that SOMETHING did. I choose out of preference to call it God. Don't confuse me with the anthropomorphized diety of the superstitious, because frankly I have no way of proving such a thing. So I don't try to.

Quote:On the contrary. Nothing suggests a mover. Do you know a single thing that isn't moved by something else? So what does evidence suggest?

That there is a mover. I haven't thought of a single thing that hasn't been moved by a mover, but I also have just reason to believe that what we experience or have the POTENTIAL to experience is not the be all and end all of existence. Kant supports this, and it is explained on the link I gave you. Unfortunately, I don't have a condensed copy handy, so you'll either have to look around yourself or muddle through it. Infinite regression is still possible (although negated by contemporary physics), but it still doesn't negate the necessity for some form of transcendental causality.

Quote:That's not right...the Big Bang - Big Crush theory is pretty popular among scientists. The long term past seems to coincide...tell me one thing that hasn't been affected by another.

Dispite my affinity toward the big bang theory, not even science can explain how it is the whole process started. Should it have progressed on infinitely, then science has just contradicted itself in its most generic form-- that infinity is an abstract and not something tangible. There is, however, absolutely no evidence suggesting that infinity actually exists in our universe.