Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf. Posted by JohnDoe on Fri, 26 May 2006 08:23:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warranto wrote on Thu, 25 May 2006 16:37JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 25 May 2006 13:11Warranto is right. God's existance can neither be disproven not proven.

But, from a logical point of view, assuming that there is something you have no proof of whatsoever makes no sense at all.

Oh! Oh! The "You have no proof, therefore it does not exist" arguement. I LOVE completely obliterating this arguement!

At one point in time, no had any proof of the following:

1 Billion dollars Anything at the molecular level The shape of North America (or any landmass) The "fact" that the earth orbits the sun electricity motor vehicles the wheel

etc.

A bit of trivia. At one point around the end of the 19th century (or 1900's, I forget), the Scientific authority in Britian actually declared (or was about to declare) science to be obsolete as everything there was to discover, had been discovered.

Ouch. I thought you were a bit more clever after your first post.

I said that it doesn't make sense to assume something exists than you have no proof whatsoever for. I didn't say it doesn't exist, because that's impossible to find out. Get the difference? So yea gj obliterating something I haven't said, genius.

I'm saying that assuming that there is a planet with pink unicorns which are high on glue is nuts, because there is no proof whatsoever. I can't however say that it doesn't exist for sure. Exchange the unis with god and you get the picture.