

---

Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 18:33:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 25 May 2006 14:16It's much more probable since we have proof that the universe exists.

This is another thing to consider. When you say that you have proof that the universe exists, what is it? I'm not trying to be a broad spectrum here, but when you start mixing theories of proof it's necessary to bring it up. If you can say that we can prove that the universe exists, I'm assuming you're basing this on the experience that you exist IN the universe. Then suddenly you're comparing apples and apples because the universe you claim exists under mostly probable objective circumstances must also probably follow some kind of logic. If it's causality, then Aquinas' theory is still applicable because of Kant's Transcendental Aesthetic theory.

Long story short, read a few excerpts on the TA and see what you can come up with. I'd be interested in discussing it with you.

---