

---

Subject: Re: DEATH TO IRAN

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Fri, 28 Apr 2006 17:53:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Wed, 26 April 2006 22:56So, Iran can have nukes when it signs the non-proliferation treaty? Doubtful.

Why? Because Iran is not the U.S., nor is it any of the U.S.'s historical allies, therefore the U.S. will feel (Or pretend to feel in order to have a somewhat proper pretense for war) threatened by Iraq having nukes.

Russia has nukes, why aren't we worried about them? WHAT ABOUT THE RUSSIANS MAN?! What about China? Canada? THEY HAVE NUKES! LETS GO KICK CANADA'S ASS!!

By your first statement, it's obvious that you don't even know what non-proliferation means, or what the non-proliferation treaty states.

If they sign the treaty, it isn't doubtful that they will not get nuclear weapons; it would be a fact. See, that's what NON-proliferation means.. "we agree that nuclear weapons should NOT BE PROLIFERATED"

However, if they DO sign the treaty, they can join the 120 other countries that have agreed NOT TO PROLIFERATE NUCLEAR WEAPONS, but instead, share in peaceful nuclear technology (for things such as nuclear power) with safeguards to protect against PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

Remember when Russia said "hey, we'll enrich your uranium for you, and you can have all the nuclear power plants you want" and Iran said "fuck you, we're doing it in secret, under ground, in like a dozen sites you don't even know about"... Yea, that, along with the fact that they will NOT let the IEAA monitor their program as required by the NON PROLIFERATION TREATY, is a pretty good indication that their full of shit.

Get a clue. (and go look up the capitalized words..)

And that, my friend.. is what darkdemin meant when he said you were talking out your ass, or had no idea what you were talking about.

---