

---

Subject: Re: 9/11 Treason

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Thu, 13 Apr 2006 15:47:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I already pointed that out somewhat, but it was conveniently ignored...

1. Did you know that there are multiple ways of spelling "Osama bin Laden?" Including "Usama bin Laden" and "Osama bin Ladin?" Proving that they used a different spelling in the official report proves nothing. It only proves that the writers were familiar with a different form of his name

Quote:The IDENTITIES of four of the 19 suspects accused of having carried out the attacks are now in doubt.

2. This is what the BBC article said about them. Not that "the presence of Saudi hijackers on the planes is in doubt." Simply that their identities (obtained from studying grainy surveillance tape) were later found to be wrong. How do we know YOUR family members weren't in their place on the plane?

Quote:<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy/htm7.html>

3. <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/wtc/collapse2.html> (one of the sources he himself used, but ignored the explanation given by the professor of engineering. Read the entire article.

Quote:Also notice on these pictures there are trucks dumping sand..

4. I could see no trucks dumping sand. Unless you mean on the overhead picture, in which case you can see a lot of things there on the ground. Also, it is a common practice to dump sand on fire. And even if it wasn't, what are you trying to imply?

Quote:Because things that have happened and you start thinking and placing logic and common sense in your examinations you will conclude that

I agree with you up to this point. You should start taking your own advice.

---