

---

Subject: Re: An Objective Look at Media Bias

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sat, 04 Mar 2006 04:24:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NeoSaber wrote on Thu, 02 March 2006 17:27SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Tue, 28 February 2006 16:56NeoSaber...

An organization being biased does not mean that they can conduct an unbiased survey.

I agree, an organization being biased doesn't mean they can be unbiased.

I assume you actually meant to write they "does not mean that they can't conduct an unbiased survey".

My mistake. Thanks for the correction.

NeosaberTo which I have to ask, then what was the point of the survey? If people who are biased can give us objective information, then who cares if there is a media bias? If there are more republicans than democrats on Sunday morning talk shows, what prevents them from being as objective in their analysis as you claim this group to be? The republican party is an organization, despite having certain views on issues, so they can be objective too.

The point isn't whether party affiliates can be objective, but whether they will be or not.

NeosaberAccording to that, we don't even need to listen to democrats or progressives. Any republican or conservative can give us an unbiased, objective analysis of a situation. If an organization that represents a left/right bias of 100/0 can be objective, then certainly a media that offers a 40/60 bias can be objective as well. It doesn't matter if "Sunday is conservative", it can be just as objective if it was "Sunday is 50/50", or "Sunday is progressive".

Again, just because there is a possibility that a slanted guest representation on the media can still deliver perfect objectivity doesn't mean that it's a chance worth relying on. The odds of such a situation are very, very small. And since there is such a consistency of a major slant in guests, I'm thinking that objectivity and balance isn't what the media networks have in mind. At least on Sunday.

msgtpain: You do know I wasn't always so sour, right? Those comments are in reaction to the cursing and slander emanating from my opposition on this forum towards myself. I didn't bring polar politics here. But when I'm faced with it in such astounding amounts, what would you do? Should I avoid the term Republican, or accurately typecast the majority on these forums? You think I'd be happier without that? Sure I would. But few here are willing to budge from party politics, which is more than a bit upsetting. I typecast individuals as a result of slander. There is no other meaningful way to talk to those who have no other political vocabulary. But I still think that this quote is pretty funny:

SuperFlyingEngil saw the impossible today. A Republican Texas Representative [Ron Paul] taking an anti-war stance on C-SPAN. Guess his mind drugs wore off.

And you, I can only assume, didn't look up who David Brock was (among other things)...

---