

---

Subject: Re: Vehicles vs Infantry

Posted by [m1a1\\_abrams](#) on Thu, 16 Feb 2006 22:17:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey calm down man. If I understand other people correctly, nobody is saying that your strategy is worthless... just that it's really unreliable and even though it may work well on occasion, most of the time you won't get chance to put it into effect. I try crazy, off-the-wall strategies too, just for something different... it's fun because if you can make it work, it's rewarding knowing it's that much harder to pull off.

All we are saying is that while Chem Warriors killing tanks is \*possible\*, the fact that not many people attempt it shows that it's not something that works very often. Your first post here kind of suggested that Chem Warriors are \*the\* answer to tanks, which is what all this dissent is about. They are \*a\* answer to tanks, in very specific circumstances, but way down there on the list of effective anti-tank weapons.

---