Im grinning broadly at Hydra's multi-threaded and tangled logic.

And yes, I agree with all of it.

So let's cut to the bottom of the matter here, shall we? Because I think that we've pretty well proven that there are as many opinions and feelings about the issue as there are people to have them and feelings to feel them with. Im going to assume that we all agree on that point until someone argues it. What we end up with is a situation where there are an unlimited number of variables, which are compounded by an unlimited number of emotions by an unimaginable array of people and situations...

Basically, what we have is an equation that is a variable number of variables, (or v^x) with two constants, those being the existance of a fetus and a woman carrying the fetus. No other variable, such as sperm donor, health, etc. are able to be figured in really as they most all are based on Human Behaviors.

So here is the bottom line question:
How is it that one law, or one set of rules made by a governing body can set the standards for all situations that may arise? How is it that a governing body that is removed from the situation entirely be allowed to tell any and all future citizens how to operate appropriately? Even if there was a "true" majority decision on what is "right" or "wrong", how can that standard be Appropriately applied to ALL future people that can be potentially affected? Certainly there are no other rules or laws that apply so broadly without individual consideration and having such immediacy and privacy issues. Certainly this is a case where the law does not allow for appeals after the fact, which is the basic guarantee against human fallibility in the legal system. What I see it boiling down to is how could I, or You, or Anyone else have the Right to make that decision arbitrarilary, across the board and without appeal for every person that will possibly be faced with such a decision?