

---

Subject: Re: Abortion [split]

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2005 21:56:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My logic presides over my emotions. My logic tells me that the "parasite" is human. Now, according to the law, it's illegal to kill another human. Now here's really where my logic kicks in, if the embryo/unborn child/parasite/fetus/etc... is human, and killing another human is illegal, that should make abortion legal. Should it not? Of course, it should.

It takes two to tango, correct, and as I've repeatedly said, the guy shouldn't be able to get away with this, and it should be a crime to do so. Regardless, that shouldn't make it legal to murder a child.

Of course, she doesn't have the right to kill a child. There are welfare programs, there are charities, there are organizations. She also shouldn't have that option readily available. It's MURDER, and in every civilized part of the world, murder is illegal.

Also, on the note of calling an embryo/fetus/zygote/human/baby/life a parasite, think of it this way: Shouldn't a newborn be considered a parasite as well? It lives off of its mother. Sure, it doesn't necessarily "attach" itself to it, but without breastmilk (or supplements), the child would surely die, so therefore it's dependant on its "host". Why is this a human and not a parasite? Surely both are clearly parasites, or both are clearly human. Which is it?

---