
Subject: Re: Church of FSM

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2005 20:29:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Wed, 02 November 2005 10:24SEEM impossible. That does not mean IS impossible.

As I said before the huge difference is the availability of proof for each.

Santa: Huge amounts of proof that anyone (including children) can discover.

God: No proof either way. Hence it is a system of belief.

So what you're saying is that in order to believe in God, you have to have belief that he exists because it can't be proven that he does. What reason or motivation is there for me as a rational thinking person to believe in this story, in this being?

Quote:How much would you like to bet that I can make up something completely false, and make it appear to make sense? The sensibility of things does not make it absolute.

I'm sure you can. Just because I don't HAVE to believe a science book doesn't mean I have any basis to believe in the "good book".

Quote:Prove to me that the microscope has not been tampered with to display what people want you to see. When viewing things through a microscope, you are too dependent that it was manufactured properly, and without any "additions" being done. That is why I stated I doubt you've seen them with unaided eyes. I'm sure if you look in the right places, you'd see examples of God's work. You just seem to rely on willful blindness as "proof" of not being able to see examples of God's work.

It would be an awesome stretch to put, say, a strand of my hair on a slide in a certain position and look at it through a microscope and see it in that same position. Then to see that hair and see it move in the scope real-time to my motions. Then, to put another slide with blood under that same microscope and see bacteria and say it's been tampered with.

Either way, though, let's say there is in fact a microprocessor in every microscope to put strange blobby things in a slide of blood when blood actually looks a different way when viewed that close. How does this prove God's existence?

Willfull blindness? Because I look at something and don't see it as "God's work"? What exactly am I blind to? Where could I see God's work and know that's exactly what it is?

Quote:And how is this bad?

I NEVER SAID IT WAS BAD! My point was, for the THIRD TIME, that because this "God" being is all you've known from so young that you can't even seem to fathom that *I* might in fact be right, that there is no "God" at all. You can't even FATHOM this at all, while I have, as I've said before, considered the existence of God and found no justification.
