
Subject: Re: Church of FSM

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Mon, 24 Oct 2005 02:06:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course not. But you can prove to within almost absolute certainty that something is using our empirical constructs and observations.

The notion of causality doesn't necessarily fit into the human sensorial fallacy that you're relating it to. Causality is the immediate result of having an existence of time. You can't have time without causality, and you can't have causality without time (or space). Immanuel Kant did a very good job in outlining the transcendental validity of why this is so. He, like I, would suggest that causality is no more a human construct than time or space (both of which, are considered very much a priori).

This is also the reasoning that Aquinas used in validating the transcendental validity of an unmoved mover. Of course he wasn't able to figure out why, (Kant did) but the information was still there.

Keep in mind that proving that something supernatural *exists* doesn't negate the possibility of using contemporary, general logic, as well as via negativa and transcendent applicables to achieve that goal. It would be highly, HIGHLY improbable to use any of the aforementioned to try and describe the supernatural. I believe it is that which you are talking about, and in which case I agree.
