
Subject: Re: Anyone here smoke?

Posted by [SuperMidget](#) on Fri, 21 Oct 2005 13:13:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Fri, 21 October 2005 02:12

That may be your perspective, but trust me when I say that is not what it looks like to everyone else that is sober.

I've been around people sober when they are high too... they acts different yes, but not in a bad way. They are having more fun, not acting like a fool, I suppose it is one opinion so we can't really settle this argument.

Quote:Overdose DOES have negative side-effects, but you'd have to smoke around 5 grams of weed a DAY for several weeks for there to be even minal damage, that's a lot of weed. We're talking like SOCIAL, (don't take the work literal), now and then, sports, boring events (and not all of the time!) etc.

I'm not speaking of overdoses. I'm speaking everything regarding the usage of drugs. There is no benefit for using them (yes, I know there are some exceptions regarding the painkilling attribute of marijuana-but that doesn't apply because not every user is in that type of pain). It does nothing to benefit the body in any way.

There are tons of things in society that people take that are non beneficial to the body. Cigars + Cigarettes, alcohol, even some fast foods have little to no health benefits.

Quote:EATS AT AN INCOME?! LMAO Weed is 10 bucks a gram (Cnd) which lasts me alone for a week, sometimes two. When I want to smoke with friends we just all throw a little into the pitch. Heavy smokers can buy a lot of weed at once for a cheaper price and it will last them for a long time

Yes, eats at an income. Do the math. 2 people who work at the same job, get the same wage, same hours etc. and come out with \$100 for that day. Person 1 does nothing with the money. Person 2 goes to buy (in your example) 1 gram of marijuana. Person 1's income at the end of the day: \$100. Person 2's income at the end of the day: \$90.

I do believe that \$90 is LESS than \$100.

[/quote]

Dude, now quit being retarded. Life isn't a math book of problems. People that can afford it, buy it. People that can't, don't. It's as simple as that. They either find others that will smoke them up for free or save up enough money until they can. It's called budgeting. It's no different they buying other luxuries, like a chocolate bar at the grocery store, or a boat to cruise in. You set a goal, save, and buy! So according to your theory, buying anything that is not a human NEED 'eats at an income' (in a negative way, as you put forth that gesture).

Quote:De-Criminalized for a period of time, there has been MUCH current controversy over this in our country. It's just like the prohibition back in the 'day'. People kept breaking the rules, and it never stopped completely, it was so minor that they took it off. As such will eventually happen to weed again. I'm acutally taking Police Foundations and we've talked about this in class with our professor, he even agrees. Man.. come to think about it, about half our class smokes weed now

Second Year!

Wow, people who smoke marijuana agreeing that it should be decriminalized... surprise there. (See what I mean by the "yes-man" attitude?) And of course, when something becomes so common, it should become legal. Great point of view. Perhaps theft should be next. It seems to occur often enough. It's also good news for murderers, looks like if they keep it up, it should become legal!

The key words in here that you failed to read here is MINOR. People have been doing this for a long time, and police officers now usually don't even arrest for this offence (yes, it IS an arrestable offence if there is weed in your car), they usually take people's drug and pipe (or other tools), destroy it, report it in, or use it themselves (RARELY, but it happens). And not just people who smoke think it should be decriminalized. The vast population of Canada thinks that it should be, so that our police force can stop worrying about such a small issue and focus on more important stuff. Also, the government could make a shit load more money if they let this go.

Quote:Um.. I have plenty of friends, and people who are friends' friends, and I even know 42 year olds who still admit they are NOT addicted, even after years of it. I've been doing it just over a year now, a lot in the summer, now more now and then, and I still am honestly able to take month-long breaks without it. Same goes with all my friends.

Here, I'll prove that even you are addicted to it.

I challenge you to give up any and all drugs for the rest of your life.

I bet you won't accept. Why? It doesn't matter, whether it's because you "like it", or "you simply don't want to", that's proof of the addiction. Refusal to stop. An addiction doesn't have to be physical. It can be just as psychological.

Of course I'm not going to accept, who are you to challenge me to stop? To me you're just some person (kid or otherwise) on a computer named 'warranto'. OOooo I'm so intimidated... I better quit right now to shut this guy up. Gimmie a break.

I've told you already in my post that I can, and often DO take several month-long BREAKS (that means 'No Smoking' if you don't understand a second time). The reason I start up again is again to have some fun, or the midterm is over, or at a party, etc. And usually when I start back up, it's not regular, most of the time after a break I usually just smoke once or twice a month.

I love your arguments, but if you're going to post stupid things, that contradict yourself, don't bother! Read my posts a little better and THEN state your opinion.

As to that comment about shrooms and that they grow in cow dung... Hate to break it to you all,

but so does 90% of your fruits and vegetables that you eat at dinner time does too
