

---

Subject: Re: Another One Bites the Dust  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 05 Oct 2005 14:42:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 04 October 2005 23:19 We had a cease fire in 1991. There is no "international law" about that. If hostilities are initiated again it's still fair game as the war is still going on.

I never said whether or not the law exists. I was questioning its power and why anyone should follow it. Even if it didn't exist, we've still had every right to attack since our rights are inherent in our system of government when Congress passed the declaration of war on Iraq. Whether or not the UN, you, I, or anyone else liked it is irrelevant.

It takes two people to continue a circular argument. If you're going to consistently deny that the law isn't powerless and that it has some sort of validity in this world, you're just as guilty of circular reasoning as I am.

One problem. International cease-fires are governed through international law. So, I ask again, does it exist, or doesn't it?

"Every right to attack" doesn't deal with the legality of the issue. It's just one country deciding what to do with another country. Heck, if Canada (for example) decided it wanted to declare war on the states, we could do so simply by the government saying so, and as far as we're concerned it would be ok. That still doesn't mean that it's legal or not.

---