

---

Subject: Re: GFX Question.

Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Wed, 17 Aug 2005 06:37:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

icedog90 wrote on Sun, 14 August 2005 13:53JPNOD wrote on Sun, 14 August 2005 06:40Put a AMD Sempron/Axp against a AMD 64 with a GF6800 and your fps will be significant lower.

Yes, but not that dramatically.

Again, the impact on a game on that might be lighter but. With a processor running behind the gfx the full potential of the gfx will never be achieved.

Also Celeron --> Sempron almost so how can it be that fast (unless overclocked.

Then seeing Sempron same as AXp pretty much.

JPNOD wrote on Sun, 14 August 2005 06:40

The only way to test this is by putting in a slower GFX card. If the fps is the same it means his other pc components are running behind the graphics card.

Quote:

If you read my last post, I stated that I have a lot slower of a video card with a pretty equivalent processor and I get better performance than him.

Maybe he doesn't run the same sort of setup? He can say 2.6 Ghz but what if it would be a Celeron?

Also not everyone has the same kinds of software/drivers on comps. If I'd compare my slow 2.6 to a 3.4ghz in Renegade I wouldn't see the difference with the eye really. Just testing one game...

JPNOD wrote on Sun, 14 August 2005 06:40

And may post your other system spec's too. Saying a 4 years old mobo. If it has a slow chip the problem lies there.

My motherboard is about three years old. The motherboard is the ultimate least of his problems, since motherboards barely affect the speed of the computer.

I really doubt that. But it's not the largest factor.

Athlon XP 2600+ 2.1GHz 333MHz FSB

1024MB DDR PC2700

Gainward Geforce FX 5600 256MB

MSI D7N2 Delta-L Motherboard

Western Digital 80GB 7200RPM

Quote:Quote:

Actually I meant the system of the guy with the problems. If we see what setup he has we might be able to help him, or not

Also just curious what card did you have before the FX5600?

---