
Subject: Re: After Downing Street
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 16:31:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doitle wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 23:35 So a document outlaying the routes the US had for the Iraq War proves what? You guys don't have anything better to go on? The line "fixed around the issues" is your hail mary impeach Bush play? Unfuckinglikely.

So you believe Iraq was being supplied with nuclear material from Africa?

So you believe that Saddam posed an imminent threat to the United States?

So you believe that Saddam harbored al Qaeda?

So you believe Iraq had WMDs? [<http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/01/12/wmd.search/>]

Of course, after it was found that there were no WMDs in Iraq, Bush's mission statement changed from

THIS: Bush: "Our mission is clear in Iraq. Should we have to go in, our mission is very clear: disarmament." [3/6/03]

to THIS: Bush: "Our cause is just, the security of the nations we serve and the peace of the world. And our mission is clear, to disarm Iraq of weapons of mass destruction, to end Saddam Hussein's support for terrorism, and to free the Iraqi people." [3/22/03]

Oh, and a top war proponent Pentagon hawk [Richard Perle] has conceded that the war in Iraq was illegal. [<http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/?q=node/505>]

Guess what Nazi leaders like Goering, von Ribbentrop, Jodl, and Streicher were hanged for?

"(a) Crimes against Peace: namely, planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression, or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances, or participation in a Common Plan or Conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the foregoing"

-Article 6, Charter of the International Military Tribunal, August 8, 1945

And of course on the topic of Guantanamo and Abu Ghraib,

" War Crimes: namely, violations of the laws or customs of war. Such violations shall include, but not be limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave labor or for any other purpose of civilian population of or in occupied territory, murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the seas, killing of hostages, plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity"

-Article 6, Charter of the International Military Tribunal, August 8, 1945

So, you think a Republican Congress will pass a resolution of inquiry on this? Of course not. But it

would be nice if we had a real government.

EDIT: Errors with text formatting
