

---

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 21:27:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ACK wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 17:48<http://www.scss.com.au/family/andrew/opera/firefox/>

Check it out. Tests show Opera is better in almost every aspect.

Firefox won everything in the chart that mattered except for startup memory requirement and java benchmark.

And EVEN THEN, the chart is 6 to 8 Firefox's lead.

And of course there's throughput, where Firefox proves much better than Opera. Then, without bothering to determine what it was, the author of your site passes it off as "my setup", whatever that means.

I have never used Thunderbird or Opera e-mail, and so I have no opinion on them.

But really, both Opera and Firefox are perfectly legitimate web browsers, and no one can go wrong with either one. The only bad browsers are more or less Internet Explorer and Netscape Navigator/Communicator/whatever it is.

EDIT: Crimson brings up an interesting point...

CrimsonOverall it doesn't matter. Everyone but you can see that you are grasping at straws to support a weak argument. You can't handle being proven wrong so you'll continue to pull stuff, essentially from your ass, to support an argument because you could never just "stand corrected" on something.

ACK, do you have any reason at all to believe that the Clintonites trashed the White House right before they left it to George W. Bush?

Of course you don't, but since you can't stand to acknowledge it, you're going to avoid my post like the plague now.

---